



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,542	07/03/2003	Kee Park	5646-60IP	4532
20792	7590	04/15/2008	EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			DARE, RYAN A	
PO BOX 37428				
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/613,542	PARK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	RYAN DARE	2186	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 July 2003.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-57 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-57 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 July 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :2/4/04, 3/5/04, 6/23/04, 1/17/04, 2/27/06, 10/24/07.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by James et al., US Patent 7,185,141.

3. With respect to claim 1, James teaches a content addressable memory (CAM) device, comprising: a priority resolution circuit that is configured to hierarchically resolve competing soft priorities between a plurality of active hit signals according to numeric significance, in col. 6, lines 22-29 and 53-67.

4. With respect to claim 2, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 1, wherein said priority resolution circuit is configured to resolve competing hard priorities between two or more of the plurality of active hit signals having equivalent highest soft priorities by identifying which of the two or more of the plurality of active hits signals has the highest hard priority, in col. 6, lines 30-42.

5. With respect to claim 3, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 2, wherein said priority resolution circuit comprises a MSB soft priority resolution stage and a LSB soft priority resolution stage, in col. 6, lines 43-67.
6. With respect to claim 4, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 3, wherein said priority resolution circuit comprises a hard priority resolution stage that is electrically coupled to outputs of said LSB soft priority resolution stage, in col. 6, lines 43-67.
7. With respect to claim 5, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 1, further comprising: a plurality of CAM array blocks having respective soft priorities assigned thereto; and wherein said priority resolution circuit comprises a plurality of registers that retain the soft priorities assigned to said plurality of CAM array blocks, in col. 6, lines 22-29 and 43-67.
8. With respect to claim 6, James teaches a content addressable memory (CAM) device, comprising: a plurality of CAM array blocks having respective soft priorities assigned thereto; and a hierarchical priority resolution circuit that is configured to identify a highest priority one of said plurality of CAM array blocks having respective matching entries therein during a search operation, by sequentially evaluating the soft priorities of said plurality of CAM array blocks according to numeric significance, in col. 6, lines 22-67.
9. With respect to claim 7, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 6, wherein said hierarchical priority resolution circuit is configured to sequentially evaluate the soft priorities of said plurality of CAM array blocks in descending order according to numeric significance, in col. 6, lines 22-67.

10. With respect to claim 8, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 6, wherein said hierarchical priority resolution circuit comprises a plurality of programmable registers that retain the soft priorities, in col. 6, lines 22-29 and 43-67.

11. With respect to claim 9, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 6, wherein said hierarchical priority resolution circuit comprises: a first soft priority resolution circuit that is electrically coupled in a wired-OR manner to a first plurality of signal lines; and a second soft priority resolution circuit that is electrically coupled in a wired-OR manner to a second plurality of signal lines, in col. 9, lines 57-59.

12. With respect to claim 10, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 9, wherein the first and second plurality of signal lines are floated or biased at precharged levels during the search operation, in col. 14, lines 36-39.

13. With respect to claim 11, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 9, wherein said hierarchical priority resolution circuit further comprises: a third soft priority resolution circuit that is electrically coupled in a wired-OR manner to a third plurality of signal lines, in col. 9, lines 57-59.

14. With respect to claim 12, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 11, wherein said hierarchical priority resolution circuit further comprises: a hard priority resolution circuit that is electrically coupled to outputs of said third soft priority resolution circuit, in col. 6, lines 30-42.

15. With respect to claim 13, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 6, wherein said hierarchical priority resolution circuit comprises: a soft priority resolution circuit;

and a hard priority resolution circuit that is electrically coupled to outputs of said soft priority resolution circuit, in col. 6, lines 22-67.

16. With respect to claim 14, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 6, wherein said hierarchical priority resolution circuit comprises: a soft priority resolution circuit that is electrically coupled in a wired-OR manner to a first plurality of signal lines that are floated or biased at precharged levels during a priority resolution operation; and a hard priority resolution circuit that is electrically coupled to outputs of said soft priority resolution circuit, in col. 9, lines 57-59 and col. 14, lines 36-39.

17. With respect to claim 15, James teaches a content addressable memory (CAM) device, comprising: a priority resolution circuit that is configured to resolve competing soft priorities between a plurality of active hit signals associated with a respective plurality of CAM array blocks, in response to a search operation, in col. 6, lines 22-29 and 52-67.

18. Claims 16-18 are similar to claims 2-4 and are rejected using similar logic.

19. Claim 19 is similar to claims 1 and 2, and is rejected using similar logic.

20. With respect to claim 20, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 19, wherein the competing soft priorities of the plurality of active hit signals are resolved by evaluating the soft priorities in a MSB to LSB sequence, in col. 9, lines 36-42.

21. With respect to claim 21, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 19, wherein said priority resolution circuit is a hierarchical priority resolution circuit having at least two soft priority resolution stages and a hard priority resolution stage, in col. 6, lines 22-67.

22. Claim 22 is similar to claim 6 and is rejected using similar logic.
23. Claim 23 is similar to claims 1 and 2 and is rejected using similar logic.
24. With respect to claim 24, James teaches a content addressable memory (CAM) device, comprising: a plurality of CAM array blocks that each have respective soft and hard priorities assigned thereto; and a priority resolution circuit that is configured to identify a highest priority one of said plurality of CAM array blocks having respective matching entries therein during a search operation by resolving competing hard priorities between at least two of said plurality of CAM array blocks having the same soft priority, in col. 6, lines 22-67.
25. With respect to claim 25, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 24, wherein the CAM device comprises  $2N+1$  CAM array blocks therein, where N is an integer; and wherein said priority resolution circuit comprises  $\log_2 N$  groups of precharged signal lines that are used during a priority resolution operation to resolve competing soft priorities between hit signals generated by said plurality of CAM array blocks, in col. 6, lines 22-52.
26. With respect to claim 26, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 24, wherein the CAM device comprises  $2N+1$  CAM array blocks, where N is an integer; and wherein said priority resolution circuit comprises  $\log_2 N$  groups of N or  $N-1$  precharged signal lines, in col. 6, lines 22-52.
27. With respect to claim 27, James teaches the CAM device of Claim 24, wherein the CAM device comprises  $(2x)y$  CAM array blocks, where x and y are integers; and

wherein said priority resolution circuit comprises y groups of precharged signal lines having 2x or 2x-1 signal lines per group, in col. 6, lines 22-52.

28. With respect to claim 28, James the CAM device of Claim 27, wherein x and y represent a pair of integers selected from the pair groups (x,y) consisting of (3,3), (2,4) and (3,2), in col. 6, lines 22-52.

29. With respect to claims 29-57, Applicant claims the same material as claims 1-28, except combines the limitations in various different ways. Therefore the rejection of these claims is substantially the same as claims 1-28, using mainly col. 6, lines 22-67 of James, with specific limitations rejected using the specific sections of James listed above in the rejections of claims 1-28

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RYAN DARE whose telephone number is (571)272-4069. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571)272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matt Kim/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art  
Unit 2186

/Ryan Dare/  
April 12, 2008